REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application as amended.

Claims 1-16, 20-29, 34 and 36-41 have been amended. No claims have been cancelled.

Therefore, claims 1-41 now are presented for examination.

Claim Objections

Claim 21 stands objected.

Claim 21 has been amended which obviates the objection. Accordingly,

Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the objection of claim 21.

35 U.S.C. § 101 Rejection

Claims 1-14 and 29-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 because the claimed

invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1 and 29 have been

amended which obviates the rejection. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the

withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1 and 29 and their dependent claims.

35 U.S.C. § 102 Rejection

Claims 15-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Shaw,

et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,151,598 ("Shaw").

Applicants respectfully submit that Shaw discloses "[a] digital dictionary system

comprising . . . constructing and maintaining an alphabet." Shaw further discloses "user

applications for receiving, prioritizing, maintaining, and updating a selective . . . subject

of interest for each user application." (Abstract; emphasis provided).

Docket No.: 42390P10796

Application No.: 10/057,704

11

In contrast, claim 15, in pertinent part, recites "<u>implementing logic rules</u> to perform the context filtering and prioritizing; and <u>using the logic rules in connection with a plurality relevance and importance matrices</u> each associated with one of the plurality of information items to further perform context-based processing." (emphasis provided). Applicants submit that nowhere does <u>Shaw</u> teach or reasonably suggest "<u>implementing logic rules</u> to perform the context filtering and prioritizing; and <u>using the logic rules in connection with a plurality relevance and importance matrices</u> each associated with one of the plurality of information items to further perform context-based processing" as recited by claim 15. (emphasis provided). Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of claim 15 and its dependent claims be withdrawn.

## Conclusion

In light of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of the claims is hereby earnestly requested.

Docket No.: 42390P10796 Application No.: 10/057,704

## Invitation for a Telephone Interview

The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at (303) 740-1980 if there remains any issue with allowance of the case.

## Request for an Extension of Time

Applicants respectfully petition for an extension of time to respond to the outstanding Office Action pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) should one be necessary.

Please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 to cover the necessary fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a) for such an extension.

## **Charge our Deposit Account**

Please charge any shortage to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: 11-29-05

Aslam A. Jaffer

Reg. No. 51,841

12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7<sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, California 90025-1030 (303) 740-1980

Docket No.: 42390P10796 Application No.: 10/057,704